

Expert Consultation on Assuring Food Safety in Asia-Pacific

August 4-5, 2014 at JIRCAS, Tsukuba, Japan

PROCEEDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS



Organized by

Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology (APCoAB)
Asia-Pacific Association of Agricultural Research Institutions (APAARI)
Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Science (JIRCAS)

Expert Consultation on Assuring Food Safety in Asia-Pacific

**Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS),
Tsukuba, Japan**

4-5 August, 2014

Edited by

J.L. Karihaloo, Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology,
New Delhi, India

Osamu Koyama, Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

2014



Organized by:

Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology (APCoAB)
Asia-Pacific Association of Agricultural Research Institutions (APAARI)
NASC Complex, Dev Prakash Shastri Marg, Pusa, New Delhi-110012, India

Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Science (JIRCAS)
1-1 Ohwashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8686, Japan

Citation : J.L. Karihaloo, Osamu Koyama (eds.) 2014. Expert Consultation on Assuring Food Safety in Asia-Pacific – Proceedings and Recommendations. Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology (APCoAB), New Delhi, India. 48 pp.

For copies please visit: www.apcoab.org or www.apaari.org

Contents

Foreword	v
Acronyms and Abbreviations	vii
Background	1
Opening Session	2
Session I: Keynote Presentations: Overall Scenario of Food Security and Food Safety	3
Assuring Food Security and Safety in the Asia Pacific Region	3
Food Safety Measures and their Related Matters	4
Strengthening Food Safety: Initiatives in the Asia-Pacific Region	6
Discussion	7
Session II: Country Reports	7
Assuring Food Safety in India	7
Overview of Food Safety Management by Ministry of Agriculture, Fishery and Forestry (MAFF) and the Ongoing National Project Studies to Improve Bacteriological Food Hygiene Condition from Farm to Table	9
Assuring Food Safety in Malaysia	10
Food Safety Research and Regulations - Pakistan	13
Assuring Food Safety in the Philippine Setting	14
Status of Food Safety in Sri Lanka	15
A Brief Review of Chinese Taipei's Food Safety	17
Thailand's Food Safety Regulatory Framework	18
Discussion	19
Session III: Issues and Scientific Advances in Specific Commodities	19
Food Safety Issues and Scientific Advances Related to Animal-Source Foods	19
Food Safety Issues and Initiatives of AVRDC – The World Vegetable Center	21
Breeding for Mycotoxin Resistance in Wheat and Maize: CIMMYT's Recent Work and Strategy	23
Discussion	24

Session IV: International Initiatives	25
Codex Alimentarius and its harmonization	25
Translational Research on Transgenic Crops at ICRISAT: Towards Product Development, Deployment, Food Safety and Biosafety	26
Asian Food Resource Network and Safety Evaluation	27
Discussion	28
Session V: Discussion of Key Issues	28
Recommendations	28
Program	32
List of Participants	34

Foreword

The Asia-Pacific region is a large producer and consumer of food. Vegetables, cereals, roots and tubers, milk and meat are some of the agricultural commodities that are produced, consumed and exported in large quantities from countries of this region. Besides, Japan and China are the two world's largest importers of food. Hence, safety of food during its entire production to consumption chain is of vital importance for the health of people as well the economy of the region. Frequent outbreaks of food-borne diseases like cholera, anthrax, salmonella and streptococcus from both developing and developed countries of the region highlight the need for intensifying efforts towards improving food safety environment through wide ranging measures. These include policy support through required laws and regulations, strengthening infrastructure and human capacity, and involvement of stakeholders in the implementation of food safety programs. There is also the need for developing of safety standards for food items both for the export as well as local consumption; robust surveillance, risk assessment and management systems to be in place; and building mechanisms of bilateral and regional cooperation to effectively manage transboundary food safety threats.

The Asia-Pacific Association of Agricultural Research Institutions (APAARI) and its program on biotechnology, the Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology (APCoAB), have been organizing policy discussions on a wide range of contemporary issues impacting agricultural development in the Asia-Pacific region. Over the last few years, expert consultations have been held on food safety related topics like GM crops and foods, transboundary diseases, biosafety and biosecurity. These meetings have resulted in recommendations and effective actions at both regional and national levels. This expert consultation on food safety was the first such organized by APAARI in collaboration with Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS).

We are happy that the meeting was attended by international experts and senior representatives from nine countries including policy makers from national agricultural research systems (NARS), FAO, CG centers and universities. The deliberations have resulted in comprehensive recommendations on key issues like, policy support, technical standards, stakeholders' involvement, food control systems, infrastructure and human resource development and other related areas. We take this opportunity to thank all participants for their active involvement. The efforts of Dr. J. L. Karihaloo, Coordinator, APCoAB and Mr. Osamu Koyama, Director, Research Strategy Office, JIRCAS in organizing the expert consultation and compiling the proceedings are very much appreciated. It is our hope that the recommendations of this meeting will help especially the policy makers and all stakeholders in effectively implementing food safety related actions in order to ensure healthy and nutritious food for our people in the region.



Masa Iwanaga
President, JIRCAS



Raj Paroda
Executive Secretary, APAARI

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AAACU	Asian Association of Agricultural Colleges and Universities
AMR	Antimicrobial resistance
APAARI	Asia-Pacific Association of Agricultural Research Institutions
APCoAB	Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology
ARASFF	ASEAN Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed
ASEAN	Association of Southeast Asian Nations
AVRDC	AVRDC - The World Vegetable Center
BSE	Bovine spongiform encephalopathy
CAC	Codex Alimentarius Commission
CAS	Certified Agricultural Standards, Chinese Taipei
CGIAR	Consultative Group of International Agricultural Research
CIMMYT	International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center
COA	Council of Agriculture, Chinese Taipei
CSIR	Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India
DGGE	Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis
DH	Doubled haploid
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DOA	Department of Agriculture, Thailand
DON	Deoxynivalenol
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EU	European Union
FY	Financial year
FAC	Food Advisory Committee, Sri Lanka
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
FAO-RAP	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations – Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific
FAST	Food Alert System of Thailand
FBD	Food-borne disease

FBDS	Farm Business Development Scheme
FCAU	Food Control Administration Unit, Sri Lanka
FDA	Food and Drug Administration, Chinese Taipei
FHB	Fusarium head blight
FHBSN	FHB screening nursery
FHTS	Food Handler Training School, Malaysia
FoSIM	Food Safety Information System of Malaysia
FSS Act	Food Safety Standards Act of India
FSSAI	Food Safety and Standards Authority of India
GAP	Good agricultural practices
GDP	Gross domestic product
GHP	General hygiene practices
GIZ	Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit
GM	Genetically modified
GMP	Good manufacturing practice
GOI	Government of India
GOP	Government of Pakistan
HACCP	Hazard analysis of critical control point
ICRISAT	International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics
ILRI	International Livestock Research Institute
IPM	Integrated pest management
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
IUFoST	International Union of Food Science and Technology
IUNS	International Union of Nutritional Science
JECFA	Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives
JEMNU	Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting on Nutrition
JEMRA	Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meetings on Microbiological Risk Assessment
JFRL	Japan Food Research Laboratories
JIRCAS	Japan International Research Centre for Agricultural Sciences
JMPR	Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues
JSS MVP	Jagadguru Sri Shivarathreeshwara Mahavidyapeetha

LMO	Living modified organism
MAEPS	Malaysia Agriculture Exposition Park Serdang
MAFF	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Japan
MeSTI	<i>Makanan Selamat Tanggungjawab Industri</i> , Malaysia
MHWL	Ministry of Health, Welfare and Labor, Japan
MNFSR	Ministry of National Food Security and Research, Pakistan
MOEA	Ministry of Economic Affairs, Chinese Taipei
MOH	Ministry of Health, Malaysia
MOHW	Ministry of Health and Welfare, Chinese Taipei
MOU	Memorandum of understanding
MRL	Maximum residue limits
MSTE	MARDI Science and Technology Exhibition
NARO	National Agriculture and Food Research Organization
NARS	National Agricultural Research Systems
NCDs	Non-communicable diseases
NFSNC	National Food Safety and Nutrition Council, Malaysia
OIE	Office International des Epizooties
PARC	Pakistan Agricultural Research Council
PTTC	Platform for Translational Research on Transgenic Crops, ICRISAT
QTL	Quantitative trait locus
R&D	Research and Development
SK1M	<i>Skim Keselamatan Makanan</i> 1Malaysia
SLCARP	Sri Lanka Council for Agricultural Research Policy
SME	Small and medium enterprises
SOP	Standard operating procedure
SPS	Sanitary and phytosanitary measures
SSA	Sub-Saharan African
THRASFF	Thailand Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed
UPLB	University of the Philippines Los Baños
WHO	World Health Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization

Expert Consultation on Assuring Food Safety in Asia-Pacific

Background

The Asia-Pacific region encompasses 41 countries of Southeast Asia, South and Southwest Asia, Central Asia, East Asia and Pacific islands. The region is a very significant producer and consumer of agricultural food products. More than 70% of the world's vegetables are produced here while between 41% and 51% other food commodities like cereals, roots and tubers, pulses, fruits, milk and meat come from this region. On the other hand, China and Japan are among the world's five largest importers of agricultural commodities. Hence, countries of the region have a large role in preventing contamination of food that is consumed by their people as well as ensuring acceptance of their produce in international trade.

Food safety is defined by the FAO/WHO (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization) as the assurance that food will not cause harm to the consumers when it is prepared and/or eaten according to its intended use. Reducing the risk of unsafe food involves preventing contamination throughout the food chain from farm to the table. The increasing number of people to feed, especially in the developing countries, means greater stress not only on food production systems but also on post-harvest handling, storage, processing and distribution chains, all of which can become contaminated with food-borne disease organisms. Outbreaks of food-borne diseases are reported frequently from countries of this region. During 2013, outbreaks of cholera, anthrax, salmonella and streptococcus were reported from India, Malaysia, Vietnam, Pakistan and Myanmar. In early 2014, hundreds of children in Japan fell sick due to suspected norovirus food contamination.

Adoption of intensive crop and animal production practices including the use of pesticides, antibiotics and animal growth promoting hormones has increased the potential health risks from food. More challenges are being posed by newer practices like organic agriculture, adoption of genetically modified foods and nanotechnology in agriculture, food additives and even food adulteration. Market globalization leading to larger international trade in raw and processed food items has increased the chances of spread of food-borne diseases and, hence, the challenges to food safety authorities.

Food safety measures are aimed to prevent exposure to unacceptable levels of food-borne hazards along the entire food chain. The Codex Alimentarius Commission, established by the FAO and the WHO coordinates establishment of food standards at the international level. Standards, guidelines and codes of practices developed by Codex are universally accepted for food standardization practices. WTO agreement relevant to food safety, the sanitary and phytosanitary measures (SPS), require its member countries to harmonize their regulations with the Codex standards. The agreement also requires members to participate actively in Codex deliberations and adopt uniform safety standards for both the domestic and international trade.

In keeping with their national and international obligations, a number of Asia-Pacific countries have taken legislative and operational food safety measures. However, in several other countries

specific food safety policies either do not exist or are inadequate. Lack of resources, infrastructure and technical expertise for effective implementation of food safety guidelines and standard operating procedures have been noted as some of the impediments. The need for regional and subregional cooperation to increase collaboration among national food safety authorities, exchange of food safety information and capacity development has been suggested.

This present Expert Consultation brought together 23 participants comprising international experts on research and regulations of food safety, representatives of national food safety authorities of Asia-Pacific countries, and food industry to deliberate on recent developments on science and regulation of food safety, identify country level gaps in effective implementation of food safety measures, and set priorities for regional and subregional cooperation.

Opening Session

Dr. Masa Iwanaga, President, Japan International Research Centre for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS) welcomed the participants to the expert consultations. He gave special thanks to Mr. Akira Endo, Director, International Research Division, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Japan (MAFF) for his presence and support to the event. Dr. Iwanaga mentioned that while during the green revolution, the challenge was of only increasing food production, now the greater challenge is to ensure the safety of food while it moves through a complicated value chain of production, processing, market delivery and consumption. Along with increased production, there has also been a substantial increase in global export and import of food which increases the chances of food contamination and thus the greater concern now about its safety. Dr. Iwanaga expressed the hope that the meeting would discuss and come out with expert advice on how to ensure food safety of consumers, particularly under conditions of high temperature and humidity prevailing in most of the Asia-Pacific countries.

Dr. J.L. Karihaloo, Coordinator, Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology (APCoAB), Asia-Pacific Association of Agricultural Research Institutions (APAARI), in his welcome address thanked the participants comprising APAARI member nominees, international experts and host organizers who together represented quite adequately the very wide spectrum of expertise needed to deliberate on such complex an issue as food safety. He explained the objectives of APAARI and APCoAB programs and the meetings organized in the past on issues of agricultural biotechnology, biosafety and biosecurity. This meeting was, however, the first one dealing with all aspects of food safety. He thanked Dr. Masa Iwanaga, Mr. Osamu Koyama and other staff of JIRCAS for hosting and organizing all the local activities to make the expert consultation a success.

In his opening remarks, Mr. Akira Endo welcomed the participants on behalf of MAFF. He expressed his appreciation of APAARI and JIRCAS for having organized the expert consultation in Japan. Mr. Endo emphasized the importance of food safety in other countries of Asia, more so since 60% of the food in Japan is imported. As such, Japanese authorities were concerned about implementation of laws on food and food safety as well as about labelling of GM foods. A basic plan of research to enhance food technology development and management and legal and policy framework on food safety has been formulated. R&D on detection and control of food microorganism is in operation. Japan also contributes to various international bodies like SPS, FAO and OIE which develop standards and guidelines on food safety and transboundary disease issues. Mr. Endo expressed strong support for the meeting and hoped that the participants would share relevant information of their respective countries to enhance food security in the entire region.

Dr. Osamu Koyama, Director, Research Strategy Office, JIRCAS presented the Vote of Thanks in which he thanked the MAFF, key note speakers and other participants. He made a special mention of APAARI, especially Dr. Raj Paroda and Dr. J.L. Karihaloo for taking initiative in organizing this important meeting and choosing JIRCAS as the host organization.

Session I: Keynote Presentations: Overall Scenario of Food Security and Food Safety

Assuring Food Security and Safety in the Asia Pacific Region

V. Prakash, Distinguished Scientist of CSIR-India, Vice President of IUNS and Council Member of IUFoST, Director of Research, Innovation and Development at JSS MVP, JSS Technical Institution Campus, Mysore – 570 006, India

Today, with the agricultural revolution in many countries and the need for growing more from less, the movement of food has become so fast and so efficient that what is plucked in one part of the world perhaps can be served as a salad or as a meal in the evening in some other part of the world. This is fascinating and amazing! But along with it come many problems of safety and to a large extent the sustainability of the process in the long run. In order to understand this system with a focus on food safety, globally, one has to look at several regions of the world such as Latin America, North America, Europe, Africa, Middle East, Asia Pacific region and the agricultural productive systems all the way to the consumer. The raw material, either from land, sea or fresh water undergoes several steps of growing, harvesting, storing, transportation, packaging/processing, wholesale, retail and marketing which ultimately reaches the consumer or to the community catering. All these processes involve multiple parameters which need to be under control for a safe food.

In this process several regime services players must converge, such as biotechnology, bioinformatics, nutritional sciences, sustainable agriculture, quality water and the handling processes including, transportation, hygienic design of equipments and the high quality hygiene in the kitchen to make food safe. This demands a global partnership of knowledge with a significant focus on food safety and the agenda of food security wherein the traditional and ethnic knowledge needs to be included to make “Safe Food for all”. Such a system demands a synergy and networking and interfacing and harmonising many of the regulations including local processes in a coordinated fashion.

The rigours of Food Safety need to be followed and must be in the entire food chain from “Farm to Fork” and/or from “Farm to Folk” without any exception. In order to bring about a food safety network globally, partnership between government agencies, industries, academia as well as the trade and economy along with proper infrastructure and the awareness to the consumer must all work together to bring about the needed food safety with the context of food security for a sustainable and safe food for the population. Science, technology, networking, media and proactive awareness about food safety must be an important agenda for bringing in assurance of the food safety agenda in the Asia Pacific region which consists of diverse countries with a vast range of traditional foods and well established social practices which all have to be integrated with the food safety assurance. Food safety has to implemented, be it street food, community food, airline food or restaurant food or even food cooked at home. The population

must get the REASSURANCE and ASSURANCE that the food they are consuming is absolutely safe, nutritious and healthy.

Keywords: Food safety, agriculture, food regulations, traditional foods, consumer

Food Safety Measures and their Related Matters

Kenji Isshiki, Adviser for Japan Food Research Laboratories, Professor Emeritus of Hokkaido University, President of Japanese Society of Food Chemistry, 52-1, Motoyoyogi-Cho, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 151-0062, Japan

Ensuring food safety is the responsibility taken by the government from the point of view of the public health protection. All stakeholders have the obligation to contribute to food safety and security. To fulfil the role, everybody from farm to table should learn and implement food safety measures based on science. Food safety information should be shared with the stakeholders involved in related issues, including consumers, food business operators, and other stakeholders from various fields.

A series of problems, such as Bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) incidence which occurred from 1980s have shaken public trust in food safety (Matthews, 2014). This led to the restructuring of framework for food safety regulation in many countries. The new food safety system has been based on an internationally acknowledged principle of risk analysis, which is to scientifically assess the risk and develop necessary measures based on the assessment. Risk analysis consists of three components: 1) risk assessment; assess risk scientifically, 2) risk management; implement necessary measures based on risk assessment, and 3) risk communication; exchange information and opinions among members, such as risk assessors and managers, consumers, and business operators.

Another basic principal is the food chain approach. It means that we always have to check the accomplishment in actual fields from farm to table. It is necessary for us to go together for risk analysis and food chain approach to ensure food safety.

Today, we have a complicated problem related to food safety (Motarjemi, 2014). It is the triangle of food safety, food security and food defense. In Japan, we had incidents caused by intentional addition of pesticides to the frozen foods two times. One causative frozen food was imported, and another was domestic frozen food. It is very difficult for us to protect not only food factory but also our food chain from farm to table.

Basic concept of food safety measures adapted as law in many countries harmonize with Codex recommendations such as General principle of Food Hygiene. In the Food Sanitation Act of Japan, the following articles have been described with the aim of protecting consumers from food safety risks (Japan, 2013).

Article 5: food shall be in a clean and sanitary manner.

Article 6: unsafe food shall not be sold.

Article 7: newly developing food shall not be sold without being agreed by government.

Article 9: unsound livestock shall not be supplied for meat.

Article 10: food additive shall be specified by government.

Article 11: Implement criteria for handling methods and standards for food.

Severe food contamination related outbreaks have been reported mainly from developed countries. Notorious causative agents are *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, norovirus and others. In Japan, eight persons were killed by *E. coli* O157:H7 after eating non-fermented vege-pickles “asazuke” in 2012. In recent years in Japan, medical doctors formally reported a lot of people got ill by noro-virus. More than 10,000 per year were infected by norovirus coming from foods.

The emergence of a new food safety risk has been signalled. The large 2011 *E. coli* O104:H4 outbreak that was centered in Germany resulted in more than 4000 illness, over 850 cases of haemolytic uremic syndrome and 54 deaths (Frank *et al.*, 2011). The outbreak was linked to the consumption of fenugreek sprouts; the epidemiological investigation suggested the seeds were contaminated with the pathogen which grew during sprout production. In 2011, *E. coli* O111:H8 killed 5 people after eating raw-beef dish “yukke” in Japan (Watahiki, *et al.*, 2014). These infectious pathogens can make people sick and cause death even with very small intake. To prevent such food poisonings, everybody should contribute to keep the food chain clean from farm to table. Basic and key countermeasure would be to recognize that there are active pathogens near us and they can enter our food chain. Risk communication is very important as countermeasure to infectious food poisonings.

The presentation gave more details of:

- Accidental contaminants
- Intentional contaminants
- Food poisonings
- Bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE)
- Others

Keywords: Food safety, risk analysis, food chain approach, measures, from farm to table

References:

- Frank, C. *et al.*, 2011. Epidemic profile of shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 outbreak in Germany, *New England Journal of Medicine* 365, 1771–1780
- Japan (2013 rev.), Food Sanitation Act, 1947. http://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/law/detail_main?id=12&vm=2&re=
- Matthews, K.R. 2014. Food Safety: A Global Perspective, In: Practical Food Safety(ed. Bhat, R. and Gomez-Lopez, V.M.), pp.1-9, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Motarjemi, Y. 2014. Whistleblowing: Food Safety and Fraud, Food Safety Magazine, <http://www.foodsafetymagazine.com/magazine-archive1/junejuly-2014/whistleblowing-food-safety-and-fraud/>
- Watahiki, M. *et al.* 2014. Characterization of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O111 and O157 Strains isolated from outbreak patients in Japan. *J. Clinical Microbiology*, doi: 10.1128/JCM.00420-14

Strengthening Food Safety : Initiatives in the Asia-Pacific Region

Shashi Sareen, Senior Food Safety and Nutrition Officer, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 39 Phra Athit Road, Bangkok 10200, Thailand

In today's era of globalization, with increasing demand by consumers for variety in foods, there is greater transboundary movement and trade of food across countries, both imports and exports, leading to a wide variety of foods available for consumers to choose from. With this continuously increasing global food supply chain and transboundary movement of foods, the potential for spread of contaminants is high which has resulted in food safety acquiring global focus and countries imposing increasingly stringent requirements for protecting the health and safety of their populations both from domestic products as well as those imported. In addition to food safety issues, there are also issues relating to quality and acceptability of food by consumers such as spoilage, poor appearance, size requirements or past "best before date", amongst others. Another current concern is issues relating to fraud such as the recent cases of contamination of beef with horse meat. In this background food safety standards and their implementation is becoming increasingly important.

The importance of food safety is further exacerbated due to its impact not only on the health and nutritional status of populations but also its effects on food losses and wastes, market access and economic implications. Addressing food safety is a challenging task as a wide variety of factors influences it. Some food safety challenges in the region include the continually changing or emerging newer foodborne hazards and their rapid transmission across borders into the region due to globalization and trade liberalization, finding or maintaining appropriate balance between food safety and food security, linking the final product to the primary food production with greater focus on maintaining traceability, issues of multiagency coordination, need of data for taking risk-based decisions, better measurement with clear indicators for food safety so that an appropriate focus by governments is given to food safety and emphasis on awareness and trainings amongst others.

Achieving food security for all is at the heart of FAO's efforts – to make sure people have regular access to enough high-quality food to lead active, healthy lives. Food safety is an important element of food security. The importance of food safety has been recognized by FAO as a critical area and FAO supports countries by providing technical assistance and guidance through its food safety and quality program. FAO is currently supporting nearly 20 projects in various countries in Asia and the Pacific region covering capacity strengthening in different aspects of food safety and quality which generally addresses: food safety policies, legislation, and governance issues; activities relating to standards and Codex; enforcement and surveillance related activities; food safety in various agro-food supply chains right from primary production to consumption to address good practices such as GAP, GMP and HACCP; food safety emergency management and recall systems; certifications and accreditation systems; and trainings/awareness/education aspects of food safety.

Food safety needs to be addressed in a concerted manner. Future food safety priorities in the region include greater focus on strengthening coordination between ministries and departments on food safety, an important area due to the multi-sectoral nature of food safety; focusing on risk-basis and the science behind food standards and controls; supporting countries to strengthen food import control systems through a risk-based approach; addressing the issue

of voluntary and regulatory standards and how these can play a role to develop synergies and strengthen food controls and thereby safer foods; strengthening good practices across the food chain to include farm level, processing, retail, etc. FAO is working on various initiatives to address these priorities.

In conclusion, it is emphasized that food safety is no longer a simple food safety issue, but impacts trade, economy and our nutrition and food security. It is a multi-dimensional issue addressed through a range of activities involving multiple stakeholders. The importance of food standards and their implementation by governments and other stakeholders is absolutely essential to ensure availability of safe food.

Keywords: Food safety, risk-based food controls, FAO

Discussion

Following important points were made during discussion on presentations in Session I:

1. A clear distinction should be made between food loss and food wastage. In general, food losses are high in developing countries whereas in developed countries food wastage is high.
2. Each country needs to cooperate in assembling authentic global data on food safety developments and issues.
3. Food safety standards should be based on scientific principles. Raw material safety standards should be global while end product standards can be local.
4. Food safety standards are not uniform around the globe which causes problems of unnecessary destruction of shipments by some importing countries.
5. There is a need for strengthening coordination between different food safety agencies within a country. Service level agreements should be entered into by the concerned agencies to clearly delineate individual and joint responsibilities
6. A need was expressed for translation of FAO publications on food safety into national languages, particularly of those countries where these are most relevant. This would ensure delivery of the message to policy makers and its effective implementation.

Session II: Country Reports

Assuring Food Safety in India

Meenakshi Singh, Scientist, Food Safety & Standards Authority of India, FDA Bhawan, Kotla Road, New Delhi, India

India is the second largest producer of food and holds the potential to be the biggest on the global food and agriculture canvas. The food industry in India comprises food production and food-related processing industries. The food processing industry is one of the largest in India – it is ranked fifth in terms of production, consumption, export and expected growth. The Agriculture sector is the basis for most of the food processing industry and this sector has touched a growth rate of 4.4 per cent in 2012-13. The food processing industry is growing at

an annual rate of 13% and for the Indian economy the growth of industry is crucial for the overall growth of the nation.

The biggest challenge and national concern is that the food processing sector is dominated by unorganized players who contribute to 80% of the processed food (by volume), unlike other sectors viz. pharma, automobile and IT where over 90% of the sector is under organized industry. There is a trend towards conversion of unorganized food sector to organized sector but we still need to cross quite a distance.

Due to rapid growth including that of food industry, a variety of foods are now available to consumers which have created new challenges to the food safety. Food safety begins with the suppliers of agricultural inputs to farmers and those involved in food production, since materials such as pesticides and veterinary drugs pose diverse risks and, therefore, require specific attention. Animal feeding material containing pathogens, including bacteria or toxic chemicals, may also pose specific risks. Similarly, irradiations, preservatives and other additives added for improving shelf life, flavour, taste, texture of food products pose new risks. Climate change also has its impact on safety of food.

Rapid changes in diets and lifestyles that have occurred with industrialization, urbanization, economic development and market globalization have accelerated over the past decade. With this the food processing and availability has also expanded. There have been negative consequences of these lifestyle changes as well. Because of these changes in dietary and lifestyle patterns, chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as obesity, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and some types of cancer are becoming increasingly significant causes of disability and premature death.

In India, The Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI), which has been established under the Food Safety Standard Act, 2006, is responsible for food standards, safety and hygiene control. FSSAI has been created for laying down science based standards for articles of food and to regulate their manufacture, storage, distribution, sale and import to ensure availability of safe and wholesome food for human consumption. The Act also aims to establish a single reference point for all matters relating to food safety and standards, by moving from multi-level, multi-departmental control to a single line of command.

FSSAI being the regulator has initiated notification of standards under FSS Act 2006. Standard setting is supported by risk assessment conducted by independent scientific panels and considering Codex and other international standards. FSSAI is also coming up with new regulations on import of food products, recall procedures, regulations for nutraceuticals and functional food for the benefit of consumers. FSSAI is also engaged in awareness programs for various stakeholders on good food handling practices, good hygienic practices, good transportation practices, good retail practices, encouraging the implementation of good safety management program and skill development.

However, food quality and safety cannot be achieved in isolation but requires collaborated and collective efforts. There is need of exchange and dissemination of knowledge across the countries by diverse means like food testing laboratory, capacity building, training, awareness to all stakeholders, recognition of certification bodies, and harmonization of food standards with international standards (Codex), with a prime aim of consumer safety and facilitating trade.

Keywords: Food safety, food standards, regulation, consumer, hazards, awareness, NCDs

Overview of Food Safety Management by Ministry of Agriculture, Fishery and Forestry (MAFF) and the Ongoing National Project Studies to Improve Bacteriological Food Hygiene Condition from Farm to Table

Yasuhiro Inatsu, Food Hygiene Laboratory, National Food Research Institute, NARO, Japan

Food hygiene, defined as “all conditions and measures necessary to ensure the safety and sustainability of food at all stages of the food chain”, is one of the most important and challenging issues all over the world. The increasing cross national travel and transportation of food enhances spreading local novel foodborne pathogen. German outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 associated with sprouts (2011) is one of the typical cases. International collaboration to increase food hygiene condition in each country is quite important.

“Food chain approach” and “Risk analysis based food safety policy” is now commonly accepted concept to improve food hygiene condition in the most of countries. It addresses good agricultural practices (GAPs) and good manufacturing practices (GMPs) that will help control microbial, chemical and physical hazards associated with all stages of the production of foods from primary production to packing of the final products. It is quite important to adopt the “process control” strategy instead of “endpoint checking” strategy to ensure the safeness of “all” of the products higher than the expected limit. The HACCP system, one of the typical one based on the process control strategy, must be used with the suitable general hygiene practices (GHP).

To establish fair and equitable trade rules or harmonize safety measure in each country, WTO/SPS agreement article 3 requires member countries to set their sanitary measures on international standards, guidelines or recommendations. The FAO/WHO joint Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) has published several related documentations such as “Recommended International Code of Practice General Principles of Food Hygiene” (CAC/RCP 1-1969, Rev.4, 2003) and “Code of Hygienic Practice for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables” (CAC/RCP 53 – 2003). The latter code provides a general framework of recommendations applicable to fresh fruits and vegetables grown in the field or in protected facilities (such as hydroponics systems, greenhouses). The “Annex I for Ready-to-eat Fresh Pre-cut Fruits and Vegetables” and “Annex II for Sprout Production” which cover the hygienic practices for “the processing of ready-to-eat fresh pre-cut fruits and vegetables” and “primary production of seeds for sprouting and the production of sprouts” have also published respectively in 2003. CAC published an additional annex specified for the “leafy vegetable and herbs” in 2010.

Japanese food safety policy is conducted based on the “Food safety basic law” adopted in 2003. The concept of this law is based on the “food chain approach” and “risk-based adaptation of food hygiene measures”, harmonized with international standards based on WTO SPS agreement. The risk assessment body (Food safety commission under cabinet office) is separated functionally from risk management body (MAFF and MHWL). Ministry of Agriculture, Fishery and Forestry (MAFF) is responsible for the food safety risk management from farm to the entrance of food facility or slaughter house in the total food chain. The downstream is covered by the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Labor (MHWL). However, both of MAFF and MHWL are also engaged in the enhancement of HACCP adaptation into food facilities. MAFF and MHWL have set the standard operating procedure (SOP) of food safety risk management according to the “Working Principles for Risk Analysis for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius Commission” (adopted by the 26th Session of CAC, 2003;

Codex Alimentarius Commission Procedural Manual; 14th edition). Based on the SOP, MAFF established the priority of chemical and biological hazards, and the field severance (including the produces) of these hazards is being performed since 2006 (chemical) and 2007 (biological), respectively. “Salmonella in egg” and “Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 and O26 in cattle (meat)” were targeted in financial year (FY) 2006. In addition, “*Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* in chicken (meat)”, “*Salmonella* and Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 and O26 in cucumber and tomato” and “Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 and O26 in leek” were carried out in FY 2007. No pathogenic bacteria were detected from surveyed vegetables or their growing field (soil and water) samples.

MAFF promotes the adoption of GAPs to ensure the appropriate management of the entire agricultural production processes at agricultural production sites. The government had set the objective to introduce GAPs in key areas of production (2,000 production sites) for vegetables, fruits, rice, wheat, barley, and so on by FY 2011. MAFF published “A manual for voluntary introduction of GAPs procedure” and “Basic GAPs” series for 9 major produces. These manuals have no legal status but are only for the reference and suggestion for the private sectors.

MAFF conducted food hygiene related research project (“Research project for ensuring food safety from farm to table”) for 5 year (2008 to 2012 FY) that yielded scientific evidences of the importance of good hygiene practices (GAP). In 2013, MAFF kicked off a novel research program that focused on the injured pathogenic bacteria in food chain (budget 90 million JPY for the first year, 80 million JPY for the second year). A total of 33 researchers in 14 universities or research institutes joined the program to work on 18 themes. In one of the themes in the novel research project, “Evaluation of the detective methods and characterization of multi-drug resistance foodborne pathogens collaborating with foreign countries”, members of national food research institute, NARO have conducted (or planning to conduct) the study with co-workers in south (-eastern) Asian less/middle developed countries such as Vietnam, Lao PDR, Cambodia, Thailand and Bangladesh based on the MOU with the counterpart in the each of the countries.

Assuring Food Safety In Malaysia

Mohamed Shafit Hussain, Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute, Food Technology Research Centre, Persiaran MARDI-UPM, 43400 Serdang, Malaysia

One of the National R&D Priority Areas identified is food security. Food safety is crucial and the key to provide good health and nutrition, which is one of the ultimate goals of food security. Therefore, the government is serious in establishing food-based industries that produce high quality and safe food products for consumers both locally and globally. Government regulatory bodies in Malaysia are increasingly concerned about the quality and safety of food for the consumers. Moreover, there are significant changes in the lifestyles of Malaysian consumers. Consumers are going for healthy and nutritious foods.

The Food Safety and Quality Division, Ministry of Health (MOH) is the competent authority for food safety along the food supply chain in Malaysia. Even though the mandate for food safety rests with the Ministry of Health, the food safety framework in Malaysia is an integrated approach involving other ministries, government agencies and departments. The Food Act 1983 and the Food Regulations 1985 are the two laws that are mandatory to food intended for sale

in the domestic market. The objective of the Food Act 1983 and the Food Regulations 1985 is to ensure that the public is protected from health hazards and fraud in the preparation, sale and use of foods and for matters connected therewith. The Ministry of Health is responsible for enforcement of the Act and Regulations. The Food Regulations 1985 is continuously revised and updated by the Ministry of Health.

Other legislations that have an impact on food safety are the Pesticide Act 1974, the Fisheries Act 1983, the Veterinary Surgeon Act 1974 and the Animal Ordinance 1953. Others that are related to food include the Food Irradiation Regulations 2011, Food Analysts Act 2011 and Food Analysts Regulations 2013. Codex Alimentarius guidelines and standards are adopted as the benchmark in development of food safety documents.

On 11 July 2007, Parliament passed the *Malaysian Biosafety Act 2007*. The Act came into force on 1 December 2009. The Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment is lead and focal agency for all matters relating to biosafety. The Act establishes a National Biosafety Board to regulate the release, importation, exportation and contained use of living modified microorganisms (LMOs), and the release of products of such organisms with the objective of protecting human, plant and animal health, environment and biological diversity. Items containing LMOs and their product must be labelled.

Ministry of Health manages food import control through the use of a web-based application system called Food Safety Information System of Malaysia (FoSIM) which uses a risk-based approach in determining the food safety hazard of imported food. In this system, all importers need to be registered in the system database, advance notification is given by the importer to the food safety authorities about the food consignment, and food safety analysis results are posted on the system to be readily accessed by the importers. Such a system would also enhance transparency and speed up the process of food importing, thereby reducing the risk of food spoilage.

Availability of scientific R&D data is very important in setting-up of food safety legislation. In Malaysia, scientific data is gathered through collaboration and cooperation among government agencies, research institutes and institutes of higher learning. For example, a taskforce on antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in food was set up because there is a concern where foodborne illnesses cannot be appropriately treated with antibiotics as a result of antibiotic-resistant bacteria (superbugs). Raja Arief Deli and Gulum Rusul (2013) found that *Salmonella* serotypes were 100% resistant to erythromycin. The serotypes were also resistance to tetracycline (86.7%), streptomycin (52.4%), sulphamethox/trimethoprim (43.8%) and chloramphenicol (22.9%). There is an urgent need to monitor the antimicrobial resistance pathogens along the food supply chain. A work force among the Asia-Pacific region could play an important part in minimizing the spread of the superbugs.

When it comes to food safety analysis, rapid assay is an important tool in getting quick results, thus able to make a quick decisions. Biosensors together with nanotechnology play an important role in the development of highly specific, selective and rapid, which are adaptable to the food industry. Biosensors and nanosensors are capable of detecting specific chemical compounds in biological samples and are very applicable within the food supply chain such as determining pesticide residues and product quality. The Malaysian government is committed and has increased R&D funding for biosensors and nanotechnology R&D.

The Malaysian government also realises the importance of traceability, and setting-up a complete food information and traceability system is crucial. With a good traceability system in-place, Malaysia could be competitive in the global market, especially if it could ensure its food is safe and of high quality. With traceability in place, quality and food safety could be handled more systematically. With traceability system in-place, it would be easier to trace animals and their records from farm to abattoirs such as movement permit, slaughter permit and veterinary health certificate.

Recently, the Food Hygiene Regulations 2009 was implemented to prescribe hygiene requirements for all food premises, including food safety assurance program and food traceability system. In June 2012, the *Makanan Selamat Tanggungjawab Industri* (MeSTI) Scheme was introduced, which is an improvement of *Skim Keselamatan Makanan* 1Malaysia (SK1M) to facilitate food enterprises, particularly SMEs, in meeting the requirements under the Food Hygiene Regulations 2009. Under MeSTI, the SMEs are required to develop a planned and documented practical system together with control records such as control of premises, control of operation and traceability. Until December 2012, a total of 283 MeSTI certifications were given to the food industry in Malaysia (MOH, 2012). The Ministry of Health (MOH) in Malaysia realised the importance of food handlers' role in the prevention of food poisoning. In 2010, a total of 108,927 food handlers have been trained by the 132 Food Handler Training School (FHTS), which are recognized by the MOH (MOH, 2010). Education increased the hawkers' knowledge, thus improved their attitudes toward food safety and hygiene (Toh and Birchenough, 2000).

The Secretariat to the National Food Safety and Nutrition Council (NFSNC) is the highest national advisory body that provides advice related to food safety and nutrition in Malaysia. The NFSNC establishment was approved by the Cabinet on 21 March 2001. The objective of NFSNC is to ensure the health of the consumers is assured by strengthening food safety at all levels of the supply chain in the country and to ensure Malaysians achieve optimum nutrition status.

There has been a lot of global concern regarding the safety of food for human consumption where huge volumes are processed in different regions that are exported and consumed in another region. More than 50% of fruits and vegetables, sugar, non-alcoholic beverages, fish and fishery products are exported from developing countries (Othman, 2007). Therefore, there is a need for food safety research along the value chain from production of raw materials, processing, distribution and preparation of the food products. Collaborative work among the Asia-Pacific members is needed to tackle the important agenda of assuring food safety in the region.

Keywords: Malaysia, legislations, food safety, food hygiene and R&D

References:

- MOH. 2012. Annual Report 2012. Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- Othman, N.M. 2007. Food Safety in Southeast Asia: Challenges Facing the Region. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Development*, 4(2), 83-92.
- Raja Arief Deli, R.N. and Gulam Rusul, R.A. 2013. Salmonella superbugs: The silent invaders. MARDI Science And Technology Exhibition (MSTE), 17 – 18 December 2013, Malaysia Agriculture Exposition Park Serdang (MAEPS), Serdang.

Toh, P.E. and Birchenough, A. 2000. Food safety knowledge and attitudes: culture and environment impact on hawkers in Malaysia. Knowledge and attitudes are key attributes of concern in hawker foodhandling practices and outbreaks of food poisoning and their prevention. Food Control, 11(6), 447-452.

Food Safety Research and Regulations - Pakistan

Iftikhar Ahmad, Pakistan Agricultural Research Council (PARC), Plot No. 20, G-5/1, P.O. Box 1031, Islamabad, Pakistan

The major food safety concerns for Pakistan are of physical, chemical and biological nature, in addition to, organic and genetically modified foods and nutraceuticals. Pakistan is an agro-based, low income, developing economy with major agricultural produce of wheat, rice, cotton, sugarcane, fruits and vegetables. The agricultural export earnings are obtained from rice, fruits, vegetables, textiles, carpets, fish, livestock products, spices etc. The per capita availability of food items lies in acceptable range, viz., energy and protein availability per capita per day are 2,450kcal/d and 72.5g/d, respectively. Pakistan is the house of world-class food commodities like Basmati rice, chilies, Chaunsa and Sindhri Mango, textiles, fruits, spices. European Union (EU) is the biggest markets for Pakistan's exports. The non-compliance/negligence on international food safety requirements and sensitivity of the EU on food safety issues are the biggest setbacks for the Pakistani exports. The presence of aflatoxin, unauthorized colors, sulphites, pesticide residue, harmful microbes, heavy metals, molds are serious threats to the Pakistani exports, as a total of 213 consignments have been rejected on these grounds, during last 10 years.

Pakistan does not have an integrated legal framework but has a set of laws, which deal with various aspects of food safety. These laws, although, are old ones but have a capability to ensure a minimum level of food safety. The major food laws which dealt with food safety in Pakistan are The Pure Food Ordinance (1960), The Cantonment Pure Food Act (1966), Pakistan Hotel and Restaurant Act (1976) and The Pakistan Standards and Quality Control Authority Act (1966). The new emerging food safety scenarios are demanding revision in food laws. After the 18th constitutional amendment, being the provincial subject, the provincial administrations are developing their own food safety laws. The Government of Pakistan (GOP) is also working on the development of new guidelines and plans to address the food safety issues. The important decisions that GOP is taking as policy matter include the updating of existing food safety legislations harmonized with international standards, capacity building programs, strengthening of inspection, testing and certification system and early warning system etc. The GOP is also planning the National Food Safety Program. This program has two-prong approach of developing National Strategy and Food Safety Policy and ensuring Food Quality and Safety to protect consumer's health.

The research and development in Pakistan is now more focused on food safety. The Pakistan Agricultural Research Council (PARC) under the umbrella of Ministry of National Food Security and Research (MNFSR) has put attention on the food safety issues, several years back. But the situation requires more comprehensive and coordinated efforts with all stakeholders on board. Pakistan is a developing country and is facing similar problems as other developing nations. The key problems in food control system are outdated food laws and regulations with poor enforcing capability, absence of national food control strategy, limited infrastructure, equipment,

supplies, skilled personnel, inadequate scientific and technical resources for food inspection and compliance etc. The answers to these problems are complex and require harmonized efforts at multiple levels in public as well as private sector. The International cooperation in general and regional cooperation in specific can play a vital role to overcome the deficiencies and bring the developing nations at par with developed nations.

Assuring Food Safety in the Philippine Setting

Edralina P. Serrano¹, Digna L. Sandoval²

¹College of Agriculture, University of the Philippines Los Baños, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines

²Bureau of Agricultural Research, Department of Agriculture, Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines

In recent years, the advent of globalization, trade liberalization, changing lifestyles and consumers' heightened awareness and concerns on health and wellness, have brought into focus the safety and quality aspects in food. Worldwide outbreaks of food-borne illnesses also provide the impetus for consumers to demand safe and wholesome food. For a food produce or product to be safe for consumption, it must be produced, handled, prepared and packaged in a manner compliant to international standards of safety and quality. Thus, quality assurance programs conveying, the production- postharvest handling- marketing-consumption continuum must be implement by each country.

In the Philippines, a government-initiated food safety assurance program - the Food Safety Act - has been enacted into a law. The enactment of this Law has been fueled by incidences of food contaminations/poisoning and outbreaks of food-borne diseases and increasing health consciousness. It assumed urgency due to the emergence of heightened sensitivity in markets over the assurance of food safety in a globalized trading environment. The Food Safety Act of the Philippines aims "to develop and maintain a food safety regulatory system that will ensure the highest level of protection of human health in the consumption of food, fair practices in the food trade and market access of local foods and food products".

The paper presented the key provisions of the Food Safety Act of the Philippines and the role of government's food control agencies, the academe, the industry and the consumers towards efficient enforcement of the Law to meet its objectives of ensuring consumer protection, enhancing competitiveness and market access of food and food products.

References:

- Serrano, E.P. 2012. The role of the academe in the development and implementation of the Philippines' Food Safety Assurance programme. Paper presented during the 18th Biennial Convention of Asian Association of Agricultural Colleges and Universities (AAACU), October 27-29, 2010 Guam, USA.
- Marges, M.G. 2011. The DA-DOH Food safety initiative. Paper presented during the Symposium on Food Safety Issues and the National Food Control System, April 11, 2011, U.P. Los Banos, Philippines.
- Serrano, E.P. 2010. Ensuring food safety in fresh produce through compliance to good agricultural practices (GAP) standards. Paper presented during the 1st Philippine Food Safety Conference, July 10, 2010, Cebu, Philippines.

Status of Food Safety in Sri Lanka

P.W. Kumara, N.S. Jayasekera and S. Dissanayake, Sri Lanka Council for Agricultural Research Policy, 114/9, Vidya Mawatha, Colombo 7, Sri Lanka.

The Asia Pacific region is a significant producer and consumer of agricultural food. Inadequate food production to meet the demand is a global problem with 58% of the global food production consumed by developing countries. Sri Lanka is also a nation struggling to meet the food production targets with a population of 20,263,723 (Census and Statistics, 2012), with 13.8% of the population engaged in agriculture where the contribution to the gross domestic product (GDP) by the agriculture sector is 10.8% (Central Bank, 2012).

Access to food at low prices, year round supplies, and a greater quality of variety of food availability at low prices has been facilitated by increased International trade. The food and beverage industry in Sri Lanka is also a significant contributor from in the supply chain of food and beverages providing fresh produce mainly to the Middle East and processed products mainly to European Countries.

Globalization of food supply chain has also posed new challenges by way of food safety and quality issues, thus food safety/quality and trade-related concerns are becoming more pronounced than before. As Governments across the Globe seek to regulate food markets in the interest of the Public Health and Safety, the Government of Sri Lanka also plays a vital role in maintaining a well established mechanism within the country to ensure that food items available in the market are safe for human consumption.

In Sri Lanka, there has been a growing fear/incidence of food safety and quality risks, with the fears raised in year 2008 that melamine contaminated imported baby food, milk and fish feed from China had made its way to the market (Daily News 2008). In the year 2011, fears were also raised with regards canned fruits and vegetables imported from Europe, importation of Bird Flu infected poultry products, and adulterated brown sugar imported from Brazil.

Statistics reveals that Sri Lanka imports 7.6% food and beverages, rice 0.1%, sugar and sugar confectionaries 1.6%, dairy products, 1.6%, lentils 0.6% and others 3.7% while 14.8% tea, 2% coconut, 3.4% spices, 0.25% vegetable oil and 1% minor agricultural products are exported (Central Bank 2013).

The more frequent and immediate result of food contamination is diarrhea. The Ministry of Health reveals that episodes of diarrhea in 2013 have been 650/100,000 population (Health Bulletin 2013), which is mainly due to food contamination.

The present Food Act No 26 of 1980 issued by the Ministry of Health in consultation with the Food Advisory Committee is the main legislation controlling food safety activities in Sri Lanka. The present Food Act, is based on the model Food Laws proposed by the FAO and WHO. This Act has been amended by Act 20 of 1991 and Act 29 of 2011. The Food Act controls the Manufacture, Importation, Transport, Sale, Distribution, Advertising, Packaging and Labelling of food and also establishes a food advisory committee to repeal the Food and Drugs Act. The Food Act is primarily divided into four elements, Part I. Provision defining prohibition criteria with respect to food. Part II. Provision for establishment of an administration, Part III. Provision defining the nature of offences under Food Act, and, Part IV. Sixty eight food commodities

are covered under the Food Standards as per Regulations of 1991. Product standards are formulated to harmonize with the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) standards which facilitate trade between nations.

Industrialists have started to seek assistance to obtain certification against HACCP, ISO 22000 good manufacturing practices (GMP) due to pressure created by the consumers and the foreign buyers in recent years. Both public and private organizations within the country serve as certification bodies for these schemes. There are about 114 ISO 22000 certified, 74 HACCP certified and 120 GMP Certified food companies/industries in Sri Lanka and about 210 food/beverage commodities with SLS certification from the Sri Lanka Standards Institution.

In Sri Lanka, the task of ensuring food safety is divided among a number of organizations. The Food Control Administration Unit (FCAU) of the Ministry of Health is the central unit, which maintains an effective food control system. FCAU is responsible for carrying out the decisions of the Food Advisory Committee (FAC), coordinating activities in this area with all Ministries and Departments, investigating national level complaints, import/export inspection and certification of food and coordinating activities with international organizations. Sri Lanka Standard Institute is the body involved in formulation of standards in agreement with standard setting bodies of the exporting country. The Department of Agriculture, Government Analyst Department, Ceylon Chamber of Commerce, Atomic Energy Authority of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka Customs and the Consumer Affairs Authority perform vital roles in Food Safety regulations. Research conducted in Sri Lanka has been limited to a few studies mainly on maintaining food safety and quality in managing postharvest losses, conducted by the Food Research Unit of the Department of Agriculture, Institute of Postharvest Technology and the Industrial Technology Institute which are the research institutes mandated to conduct R&D programs in food.

In conclusion, with the growing incidence of food safety risks in Sri Lanka it is fundamental that a more comprehensive and strict mechanism be implemented to regulate the flow of imported produce. Sri Lanka has a long way to go in effectively handling this issue.

Keywords: Food safety, Sri Lanka, regulations, risks, Food Act

References:

Annual Report Central Bank 2013

Daily News. 2008. Melamine contamination highlights human food chain risks. <http://www.dailynews.lk/2008/11/05/ea31.asp>

Daily News. 2013. Over 187,000 Kgs of sugar mixed with sand and seized in Kollonnawa. <http://www.dailynews.lk/2013/01/04/news26.asp>

Department of Census and Statistics. 2012.

Food (Amendment) Act No 29, of 2011

Health Bulletin 2013, Ministry of Health

Food Control Administration Unit of the Ministry of Health; Sri Lanka. <http://www.health.gov.lk/en/FOODWEB/index.html> Food (Amendment) Act No 20, of 1991

A Brief Review of Chinese Taipei's Food Safety

Chun-Lung Cheng, Food Technology and Processing Section, Animal Industry Department, Council of Agriculture, Chinese Taipei

Chinese Taipei's food industry is divided into 23 sub-sectors including more than 6000 registered factories with annual revenue at least NT\$ 600 billion. In addition, the value of imported processed foods is more than NT\$ 200 billion per year and the export is about NT\$ 100 billion. The Ministry of Health and Welfare (MOHW) is the competent authority at the central government level on Chinese Taipei's food safety management, and Food and Drug Administration (FDA), MOHW's subordinate agency, was set up in 2010 to coordinate the management of food hygiene and safety of domestic and imported foods. Besides, The Ministry of Economic Affairs (MOEA) and the Council of Agriculture (COA) are involved in food safety management as well. The MOEA is responsible for the registration of factories and the promotion of good manufacturing practice (GMP) system while the COA is in charge of domestic agricultural, livestock and fishery production and the promotion of Certified Agricultural Standards (CAS) system. Every competent authority will carry on its tasks in accordance with the responsible fields and the routine coordination meetings of food safety are also held regularly and the inter-ministerial conference is conducted by the Vice Premier of Executive Yuan to integrate the food safety issues when necessary.

In recent years, several food safety issues took place in Chinese Taipei and drew the concerns of public, such as olive oil adulteration and copper chlorophyll illegally added, unapproved modified starch used in foods, ractopamine residues in imported meat, clouding agents containing plasticizers used as food additives. With all these events, consumers strongly emphasized upon the government to strengthen the regulations of food safety management. The government actuated the amendments of Act Governing Food Safety and Sanitation in June 2013 and February 2014. In order to strengthen the food safety management and enhance penalties, 4 new chapters have been promulgated relating to Risk Management for Food Safety, Sanitary Control of Food Businesses, Food Import Control and Food Testing and the articles of the Act have been increased from original 40 to 60.

As one of WTO members, Chinese Taipei has set standards related to food safety management according to the SPS and TBT agreements, including the maximum residue limits (MRL) of 349 pesticide residues in 4,051 crops, 135 veterinary drug residues in 1,389 food items, and the specifications of 18 categories of 800 food additives, and 40 categories of food hygiene standards. The country will continue to make the revision of related regulations on food management following the international standards and based on relevant scientific researches.

In Chinese Taipei, we take the control measures on imported foods at three stages, systematic inspections before the importation, border monitoring inspections, and marketing surveillances. In general, the randomly-selected batch examination is performed based on 2-10% inspection rate, increasing to 20-50% for the unqualified foods, and batch-by-batch examination applied for the foods repeatedly failed to regulations. As to the management of domestic food industry, the general requirements for all shall be in line with GHP, though some specific industries, such as meat, dairy products and seafood processing industry shall be compliant with HACCP. Furthermore, announcements will be made on the food industry types required for mandatory tracking and tracing. Since risk assessment and preventative measures are the key amendments to Act Governing Food and Safety Sanitation in Chinese Taipei, regulation for all categories

of food shall follow the rules. Especially, traceability system for tracing the source and tracking the flow will be launched to reinforce the management on genetic modified foods.

Good management on food safety requires industrial self-management following the regulations, governmental effective counseling and supervision, and the establishment of the correct consumption concept among consumers through education. Hopefully, the government, industry and consumers from all walks of life will make efforts on food safety to create a win-win situation.

Keywords: Chinese Taipei's food safety; good manufacturing practice (GMP); certified agricultural standards (CAS); good hygienic practice (GHP); hazard analysis of critical control point (HACCP)

Thailand's Food Safety Regulatory Framework

Preeyanooch Tippayawat, Department of Agriculture, 50 Pholyothin Road, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900 Thailand

Food safety was officially announced as Thailand's national agenda in 2003 with the effort to mark the year 2004 as "food safety year". The first food safety roadmap was drawn up, with multiple agencies involved in operation, covering stages in the supply chain, from import, farms, facilities, and markets. Two key ministries responsible for food safety control are the Ministry of Public Health and the Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives. Main objective was to introduce and encourage good practice standards system to farmers and manufacturers, while registration, inspection and certification were used as tools to achieve the food safety control both for domestic and export markets. However, most of standards are voluntary except those specifically designated by the Food Act B.E. 2522 (1979).

Under the National Food Committee Act B.E. 2551 (2008), food safety aspect has been extended as it is considered a building block and inter-related to other major aspects. The strategic framework for food management was developed and later endorsed in 2010. Four strategies are food security, food quality and safety, food education, and food management. The goals are to increase management efficiency and resources sustainability for the sufficiency, quality and safety, including nutrition, of food for all levels and sectors. Legislation, information management, as well as, education are to be improved and effectively implemented.

Standard plays the key role in the safety strategy covering all stages of the supply chain, similar to the previous roadmap. However it focuses on single safety standard for both domestic and foreign markets. Coupled with the safety assurance system, the food safety strategy is more strengthened. Production at community level is also incorporated into this strategy.

Under the Agricultural Standards Act B.E. 2551 (2008) and amended, standards, both voluntary and mandatory, are to be set in compliance with international standards involved. However, all standards currently established are voluntary. Two hundred twenty standards are subjected for revision. These can be categorized as general standards, product standards and system standards. Taken into consideration risks involved and current situation, some safety aspects are of more concern. Therefore, ten mandatory standards are to be established. The need for standard implementation/enforcement is as important as the development. Effectiveness of standard implementation shall be the key element of the country's strategic framework.

Even though the National Food Committee Act B.E. 2551 (2008) has laid down the framework and responsible agencies, integration of work between agencies is still a major concern in order to achieve the objectives as there are gaps and overlaps in food safety control scheme at present. Another national concern is the use of pesticides and their residues in produce. Safe and effective use of pesticides, as well as MRLs establishment particularly for minor crops has been an issue of concern, even when production is facing the effect of climate change.

R&D on food safety is scattered among government agencies, academics, and private sector. Under the food education strategy, promotion of research and development for new knowledge, information/knowledge management, dissemination and utilization are included. Among various initiatives on food safety issue, risk communication tools, namely ASEAN Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (ARASFF) and Food Alert System of Thailand (FAST) were developed with the support from the European Union in 2007. ARASFF, under the management of the Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, was further developed to match Thailand Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (THRASFF). On the other hand, FAST was followed for food safety communication among agencies under the Ministry of Public Health.

Keywords: Food safety roadmap, National Food Committee Act, Strategic framework, Thailand Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (THRASFF), Food Alert System of Thailand (FAST)

Discussion

1. Food safety is crucial to safety and health of a nation. Each country in the region needs to invest more in food safety.
2. Safety of food items for consumption within a country should be given as much importance as that of the food items meant for export.
3. Safety regulations in most countries cover packaged foods whereas nearly 77% of consumption in Asia-Pacific is of non-packaged food. This anomaly needs to be rectified.
4. Besides food, there is also a need for setting standards for agricultural commodities like pulses which are a major item of consumption in Asia.

Session III: Issues and Scientific Advances in Specific Commodities

Food Safety Issues and Scientific Advances Related to Animal-Source Foods

Kohei Makita¹, Kristina Roesel², Hung Nguyen-Viet¹, Bassirou Bonfoh³, Erastus Kangethe⁴, Lucy Lapar¹, Delia Grace¹

¹International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), PO Box 30709 Nairobi, Kenya

²Rakuno Gakuen University, 582 Bunkyo-dai Midorimachi, Ebetsu, 069-8501, Japan

³Centre Suisse Recherches Scientifiques en Côte d'Ivoire, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

⁴University of Nairobi, Kabete, Nairobi, Kenya

Every year, 2 billion diarrhea cases occur for all age groups (WHO, 2010) and nearly 700,000 children under five die from this illness (Walker *et al.*, 2013). Animal-source foods provide

nutrition but are a major cause of such food-borne diseases (FBDs). FBDs include other non-diarrheal severe illnesses such as tuberculosis and brucellosis.

In developing countries, most foods are sold through informal markets, which lack adequate hygiene management. However, these informal markets provide affordable food to the poor, and market opportunities to smallholder farmers and other value chain actors, and thus are economically important.

The Safe food, fair food project funded by Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ), and run by International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) since 2008 proved that the concept of participatory risk analysis (Grace *et al.*, 2008) is useful in improving food safety in informal markets while ensuring market access for smallholder farmers. In this risk analysis, participatory methods were applied to understanding the level of exposure to pathogens; cultural and traditional risk reduction behavior; communication with stakeholders of food safety; and, education of smallholder farmers and actors along food value chains. The project initially planned five proof-of-concept studies in five sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries, but expanded to 24 studies in eight SSA countries, attracting additional funds. Participatory risk analysis worked very well in quantifying risks and identifying better policy support options using stochastic modeling even in the data-scarce environment (Makita *et al.*, 2012). The second phase in SSA started in 2012 with more emphasis on value-chain based risk analysis including risk management.

The methodology was adopted in Asia- a study started in Vietnam on risk analysis associated with consumption of pork produced by smallholder farmers and vegetable, which is contaminated with pathogens of animal origin. Integration of economic analysis into food safety risk assessment along pork value chains is being applied in identifying incentive-based hygiene control options in this study. Also in Vietnam, a risk assessment taskforce was established to work jointly with the Ministry of Health and Agriculture on the use of risk assessment for food safety management. Participatory food safety risk analysis may improve hygiene of affordable food and at the same time livelihoods of actors along value chains of animal-source foods in Asia and Africa.

Keywords: Food safety; Participatory risk analysis; Informal market; Value chain; Incentive

References:

- Grace, D., Randolph, T., Olawoye, J., Dipelou, M. and Kangethe, E. 2008. Participatory risk assessment: a new approach for safer food in vulnerable African communities. *Development in Practice*, 18, 4611-18.
- Makita, K., Desissa, F., Teklu, A., Zewde, G., Grace, D. 2012. Risk assessment of staphylococcal poisoning due to consumption of informally-marketed milk and home-made yoghurt in Debre Zeit, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 153, 135-141.
- Walker C.L., Rudan, Liu L. Nair H., Theodoratou E., *et al.* 2013. Global burden of childhood pneumonia and diarrhoea. *Lancet*, 381(9875):1405-16.
- WHO, 2010. Diarrhoeal disease. (www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs330/en/index.html)

Food Safety Issues and Initiatives of AVRDC – The World Vegetable Center

Antonio Acedo Jr.¹, Greg Luther², Peter Hanson², Jaw-Fen Wang², Srinivasan Ramasamy², Ramakrishnan Nair¹, Warwick Easdown¹, Jacqueline d'Arros Hughes², J.D.H. (Dyno) Keatinge²

¹AVRDC – The World Vegetable Center, South Asia, Hyderabad, India

²Headquarters, Shanhua, Chinese Taipei

Vegetables are pillars of nutritious food systems which are promoted worldwide to achieve food and nutrition security and they can show the dramatic gains in agricultural productivity seen from the Green Revolution experience. Food safety is closely linked to food security; safe foods secure people's health, increase market access, and foster human and economic development. Food safety hazards undermine the food and nutritive value of vegetables; their effects (e.g. acute or chronic health disorders) have very large economic costs, including market losses, lost livelihoods, and human losses. Notable food safety hazards in vegetables include chemical contaminants (pesticide residues and heavy metals), microbial pathogens, biotoxins and antinutritional compounds. Pesticide residues are the most serious and longstanding problem especially in developing countries. Indiscriminate application of chemical pesticides in vegetable production is widespread, harming farm workers and their families, consumers and the environment. In South Asia, 50-70% of vegetables are contaminated with insecticide residues and one-third of the world's pesticide poisoning cases occur in this region. Pesticides and other chemical treatments are also used soon after harvest to retard produce spoilage. Country regulations for pesticide residues and chemical use usually exist but many developing countries have inadequate enforcement and monitoring systems. Heavy metals, such as cadmium and arsenic, can be present in plants at levels toxic to humans but not to plants. In Vietnam, vegetable areas which have mostly acidic soils which are low in organic carbon and clay soil surveys showed critical levels of cadmium that could render produce unsafe for human consumption. A more widely publicized food safety problem is food poisoning due to consumption of raw or uncooked vegetables contaminated with pathogenic microorganisms (e.g. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Clostridium* spp., *Shigella* spp., *Vibrio* spp. and rotavirus). Some pathogenic infections originate at production and proliferate after harvest due to improper handling, inadequate temperature management, limited access to clean water, and poor hygiene and sanitation. Among biotoxins, aflatoxins produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus* are among the most important food safety issues worldwide; aflatoxins are highly toxic and can cause acute and chronic symptoms in humans. Aflatoxin B1 is the most common aflatoxin found in foods and is a very potent carcinogen and mutagen. The aflatoxin-producing fungi can colonize a wide range of crops both in the field as non-destructive plant pathogens and in storage. They can grow and produce aflatoxins at low moisture levels yet over a broad temperature range (13-37°C). High aflatoxin concentrations have been detected in dried chili products originating in countries of Asia and Africa, resulting in rejection of shipments and product recall in importing countries such as USA and Japan. Aflatoxin contamination in chili is aggravated by genetic, agronomic, marketing and policy shortfalls. Chili pepper is one of AVRDC's principal crops and greater breeding efforts to address the aflatoxin problem are in progress. Finally, several antinutritional factors are present in vegetables; examples are the goitrogens (thiocyanide and thiouracil which cause abnormalities in the thyroid gland leading to goiter) in cabbages and other brassicas. In mungbean, phytic acid reduces nutritional quality by limiting the bioavailability of iron; other antinutritional factors in mungbean include trypsin

inhibitor, tannins and saponins. Bruchid beetle infestation in storage increases phytic acid and saponin contents and trypsin inhibitor activity.

AVRDC - The World Vegetable Center, in collaboration with national and international partners, takes on a whole food chain approach to address food safety issues. AVRDC harnesses its wide germplasm collections to develop varieties resistant to pests and diseases thereby reducing the need for chemical pesticides as well as varieties low in antinutritional compounds and dense in nutritional compounds. The Center generally produces open-pollinated varieties which fit well in smallholder production systems and have produced huge impact in many Asia-Pacific countries. These open-pollinated varieties comply with good agricultural practice (GAP) requirements as a food safety assurance system in some countries. These varieties also form part of integrated crop management strategies to control pests and diseases and further reduce farmer reliance on chemical pesticides. Several integrated pest management (IPM) strategies have been developed to control serious pests of eggplant (fruit and shoot borer - *Leucinodes orbonalis*), cabbage and other brassicas (diamond back moth - *Plutella xylostella*, striped flea beetle - *Phyllotreta striolata*), and vegetable legumes (bean pod borer - *Maruca vitrata*). These include the use of bio-pesticides (e.g., *Bacillus thuringiensis*, nucleopolyhedroviruses, entomopathogenic fungi, antagonistic fungi and bacteria), pheromones and biocontrol agents (e.g., parasitoids, green lacewing, predatory mite) in addition to cultural practices such as field sanitation and allowing proliferation of natural enemies by withholding insecticide use; some of these technologies are commercialized in several Asian countries. The IPM strategy to control eggplant fruit and shoot borer could reduce chemical pesticide use by 65-75% in Bangladesh and India, cut production costs by 30%, and increase farmers' incomes by 60%. Other component production methods include the use of starter solution technology as a substitute for up to 50% of conventionally applied fertilizer and simple low-cost drip irrigation that can reduce water and labor use by 20-30% and increase yield by 20-50% while protecting the harvestable part of the crop from contaminated irrigation water. Several protected cultivation systems have also been devised to shield vegetables from unfavorable weather and pests thereby reducing or eliminating chemical pesticide use. Nylon net tunnels, net houses, plastic houses, rain shelters and greenhouses were developed by AVRDC to suit conditions in different countries. Without pesticide sprays, simple net houses can reduce pest damage by 70%. An integrated crop production and protection program for protected cultivation was developed for Central and West Asia using safe procedures and less chemical pesticides. In Vietnam, safe management of heavy metals in soils and agricultural produce is done by simple and effective farm practices such as selection of crops, liming, adding organic matter and controlling soil applied inputs. Furthermore, small vegetable gardens, such as home gardens, school gardens and community gardens where vegetables can be produced with few or no chemical pesticides, are being intensified and replicated in several Asia-Pacific countries to promote the production and consumption of wholesome and diverse types of vegetables for a nutritious and balanced diet, to improve household food and nutrition security, generate employment and additional income particularly for women and the youth, and to promote gender equity. Breeding is in progress to develop cucurbit varieties suited for garden production. To complete the link between production and consumption at AVRDC, postharvest technologies were developed to reduce losses and enhance the quality and food safety of harvested vegetables. Safe and simple technologies include sanitizing wash in 100-200 ppm sodium hypochlorite solution, dipping for 2 min in 2% bicarbonate solution using food-grade baking soda to reduce tomato rots, applying guava leaf extract (1:1 dilution), lime paste (1:1 lime powder and water mixture) or 15% alum solution at the butt end of cabbage to control

bacterial soft rot, modified atmosphere packaging using commercially available plastic bags (low-density or high-density polyethylene or polypropylene bags) to improve shelf life of tomato, chili and leafy vegetables while enclosing them from potential external food safety hazards during handling and marketing, hygienic drying of chili and cabbage using simple solar driers, and hygienic processing of fermented leafy vegetables, chili sauce and tomato paste.

At present, AVRDC has a number of research and development programs with food safety implications; these are mostly collaborative and multidisciplinary and deal with vegetable breeding, crop management, integrated pest management, vegetable garden production, postharvest management in a food chain setting as well as initiatives on vegetable preparation and cooking to ensure the nutritional content remains high and is bioavailable. These continuing initiatives will generate new knowledge, technologies and innovations and will assist the mainstreaming of quality assurance systems in developing countries. These will be promoted to raise the profile of vegetables for improved health and global poverty alleviation.

Keywords: Safe vegetables, food safety management, farm-to-table approach, international collaboration

Breeding for Mycotoxin Resistance in Wheat and Maize: CIMMYT's Recent Work and Strategy

P. K. Singh, X. He, H.J. Braun, G. Mahuku and B.M. Prasanna, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Km. 45, Carretera México-Veracruz, El Batán, Texcoco CP 56130, Edo. de México, Mexico

Mycotoxins are secondary metabolites produced by species of fungi that colonize several major food crops, including wheat and maize. It has been proven that mycotoxins are harmful to human beings and animals alike, leading to great public health risk and food safety hazard, besides severe losses to crop and livestock production. In 2006, new EU regulations set the limits of various mycotoxins in food grains, reflecting the concerns for food and feed safety. Similar enforceable regulations are also made in the US, Canada, China, Japan etc., but not in many developing countries, where systematic surveys leading to empirical data on mycotoxins and the numbers of people chronically exposed to mycotoxins is lacking. The International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), as a not-for-profit international institution mandated with wheat and maize improvement for the benefit of smallholders, is undertaking intensive work on identifying and developing mycotoxin-resistant germplasm to safeguard global food and feed safety, in partnership with several institutions in the developed and developing countries. Several projects are carried out on breeding for mycotoxin resistance in wheat and maize, besides developing effective mycotoxin screening protocols. In wheat, *Fusarium* head blight (FHB, or scab) is the most important mycotoxin-producing disease globally. Seventeen *Fusarium* species are known to cause FHB with *F. graminearum* being predominant species in many countries, producing mycotoxins represented by deoxynivalenol (DON). CIMMYT has devoted major efforts to breed for DON resistance wheat germplasm since 1980s. The FHB screening field is currently located at CIMMYT, El Batán, Mexico. Selection for DON resistant germplasm is based on multiple-year (usually three consecutive years) data of field FHB index, *Fusarium* damaged kernels, DON concentration, and phenological traits like days to heading and height. Pedigree information was also considered to maintain a good genetic diversity of the nursery. Promising lines with low FHB parameters and good agronomy were

compiled regularly as FHB screening nursery (FHBSN) and distributed globally for national wheat breeding programs. Genotypic data of markers linked to major FHB resistance QTLs are available for the nurseries, to elucidate the resistance mechanism at molecular level and to facilitate hybridization schemes. Multi-environmental experiments revealed that many of FHBSN lines exhibited stable and satisfactory FHB resistance. In maize, ear rots caused by *Fusarium* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp. pose great threat to production as well as food and feed quality of maize grains worldwide. Aflatoxin and fumonisins are among the major toxic products threatening the animal and human health. Host resistance is the most practical and economical means to combat the problem, especially for the small-holder farmers and maize consumers in the developing world. High-throughput screening protocols are developed by CIMMYT and partners for evaluation of maize germplasm for ear rot and mycotoxin resistance, and promising materials are quantitatively evaluated in the laboratory for aflatoxin content, with the economical and reliable ELISA system. Molecular markers and doubled haploid (DH) technology are also being effectively utilized for identifying markers for mycotoxin resistance in maize germplasm, and for accelerating improved germplasm development, respectively. In addition to these direct breeding approaches, breeding for heat and drought tolerance, foliar diseases and insect resistance etc. are also simultaneously being undertaken, since there is adequate evidence that these biotic and abiotic stresses can significantly affect the vulnerability of maize germplasm to ear rots and mycotoxins.

Keywords: Mycotoxins, Deoxynivalenol (DON), Aflatoxin, *Fusarium* head blight (FHB), Resistance breeding

Discussion

1. There are some examples of private sector companies cooperating with farmers, and farmers' cooperatives working towards delivery of safe food products to public. Amul is the largest cooperative in India involved in collection, processing and marketing of milk and milk products. Nestle works hand-in-hand with farmers of Punjab, India to process and market milk and milk products. In Africa such efforts are becoming successful on a smaller scale.
2. In Japan, efforts are focussed on improving quality of traditional foods, including fish paste in the "One-village One-Product programme".
3. Risk assessment involving participation of farmers in Africa is very successful since the farmers realise the value of food safety and get motivated. Good social networking is the key to success of farmers' involvement in safe food production programs. Also, sustainability of the programs is strengthened by involving stakeholders including private sector at local and national levels.
4. Washing fruits, vegetables and other produce with water, detergent or commercial solutions to remove pesticides has its limitations. Washing is effective on a limited number of pesticides and that too only the non-systemic pesticides. Emphasis should be on limiting application of pesticides rather than reducing them by washing.
5. Genetic control of mycotoxin resistance exists at different stages of grain development. Resistance during storage is difficult to incorporate and combining resistance at all stages is very challenging.

Session IV: International Initiatives

Codex Alimentarius and its harmonization

Meenakshi Singh, Scientist, Food Safety & Standards Authority of India, FDA Bhawan, Kotla Road, New Delhi, India

The Codex Alimentarius is a collection of internationally adopted food standards including related texts aimed at protecting consumer's health and ensuring fair practices in the food trade. It includes standards for all the principle foods, whether processed, semi-processed or raw, for distribution to the consumer. The Codex Alimentarius defines provisions in respect of both horizontal as well as vertical standard setting viz.; food hygiene, food additives, residues of pesticides and veterinary drugs, contaminants, labelling and presentation, methods of analysis and sampling, and import and export inspection and certification.

Codex standards and related texts are not a substitute for, or alternative to national legislation. Every country's laws and administrative procedures contain provisions with which it is essential to comply. Codex standards and related texts contain requirements for food aimed at ensuring for the consumer a safe, wholesome food product free from adulteration, correctly labelled and presented. A Codex standard for any food or foods should be drawn up in accordance with the format for Codex Commodity Standards and contain, as appropriate, the sections mentioned in the Codex procedural manual. This Manual describes the legal foundations and practical functioning of the Commission and its subsidiary bodies.

Codex has a system for proposals for new work or revision of a standard, prepared by a Codex member countries, and are first considered by the concerned Codex Committee. In short, it should provide details, such as, purpose, scope, relevance, main aspects to be covered and other relevant information. The decision to undertake new work or to revise a standard is taken initially by the concerned Codex Committee followed by the Codex Alimentarius Commission based on the views expressed by the Executive Committee. The Commission decides to elaborate a world-wide or a regional Codex standard and also decides which subsidiary body should undertake the work. Currently, Codex has 10 General Subject Committees (food labelling; food additives; contaminants in food; pesticide residues; residues of veterinary drugs in foods; food hygiene; food import and export inspection and certification systems; nutrition and foods for special dietary uses; and method of analysis & sampling), 6 Commodity Committees; and 6 FAO/WHO Coordinating Committees.

Risk Analysis is fundamental to the scientific basis of Codex Standards. Codex Committees, when developing standards, apply risk analysis and rely on the independent scientific advice provided by expert bodies under FAO/WHO. Risk assessment is carried out by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA); the Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR); and the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meetings on Microbiological Risk Assessment (JEMRA); and by other scientific expert consultations arranged by FAO/WHO. In case of nutrition items, this work is carried out by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting on Nutrition (JEMNU). The 'recommendations' given by JECFA, JMPR and JEMRA and other Expert Consultation Groups are forwarded to the related General Subject Committees for consideration and decision on adoption of a Codex standard. Since CAC is a final standards-setting body to ensure safety of food and fair practices in the food trade, it is their responsibility to consider any adverse

economic impact on the trading partners, in particular, the developing countries. FAO and WHO have set up a Codex Trust Fund which is managed in a transparent manner by WHO.

The FAO and WHO regularly encourage countries to harmonize national standards with those adopted by Codex Alimentarius Commission. Harmonization of national standards with codex standards provides the opportunity to enhance trade, as they are the reference standards within the framework of WTO. In early 2000, harmonization of food standards was initiated in Asian countries to facilitate the exchange of information and scientific updates among regulators; discuss and share potential mechanisms for improvement of food safety standards; facilitate harmonization efforts towards Codex, where they exist or towards scientifically-sound regional standards where Codex standards are not in place; and identify gaps for exposure data development and assessment capacity building.

Recently, harmonization of Indian Standards with Codex and other international best practices has been initiated to review the existing Indian standards taking into account the latest developments in food science, food consumption pattern, new specifications, presence of new contaminants and toxins as well as use of new food additives and ingredients required by the producers and manufacturers. In this regard, Electronic working groups were formulated for development of vertical standards and horizontal standards. The work of harmonization will be completed within a few months and will help in achieving the intended food safety objectives.

Keywords: Codex, consumer's health, safety, food trade, risk assessment, food standards, harmonisation

Translational Research on Transgenic Crops at ICRISAT: Towards Product Development, Deployment, Food Safety and Biosafety

Kiran K. Sharma, Principal Scientist (Cell Biology) and Director, Platform for Translational Research on Transgenic Crops (PTTC), International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Telangana, India

Transgenic technology has gained significance in the recent years due to increasing demand for efficient agricultural production to provide sufficient food for the growing population, which is estimated to increase by 3 Bn over the next 50 years. While conventional breeding, involving exchange of genes between two plants to produce offsprings that have desired traits, is limited to exchanges between the same or very closely related species, transgenic technology enables combining in one plant useful genes from a wide range of living sources and at a relatively shorter time. Agricultural biotechnology has the potential to reduce crop losses from pests and diseases; improve the nutrient efficiency of food and animal feeds; extend the post-harvest life of fruits and vegetables; and to increase the stress tolerance of crop plants allowing them to tolerate various environmental extremes. In developing countries in particular, biotechnology has the potential to revitalize the agricultural sector and increase the profitability of farming. Scientific solutions to improve crop productivity, where biotechnology can play an important role, can empower the rural sector by boosting food production, enhancing income for the small farmer, and improving nutritional security. As with every new emerging technology, GM crop technology needs utmost compliance in terms of biosafety, regulatory, intellectual property, etc. that are extremely important to be considered while developing transgenic crops. With this in view, an entity - Platform for Translational

Research on Transgenic Crops (PTTC) - affiliated with ICRISAT and funded by the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India, has been established that serves to evaluate potential new genetic engineering options with utmost global compliance. Governed by the CGIAR policies, the transgenic research at ICRISAT adheres to the highest international standards, follows stringent regulations and conducts research in a socially responsible way. PTTC facilitates a collaborative and coordinated approach for the translation of genetic engineering technologies to the development of transgenic crop varieties, which can be efficiently taken through product development to commercialization. The conceptual framework of PTTC and the opportunities for the public and private sector organizations for product development including regulatory compliance were discussed.

Asian Food Resource Network and Safety Evaluation

Kazuhiko Nakahara, JIRCAS, 1-1 Owashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8686, Japan

Thousands of local food resources including indigenous plants, animals, insects and traditional fermented foods has been consumed by Asian people since ancient times. They are not only produced in agricultural fields or farmers' houses but also collected from nature. Most area-specific fermented foods are not distributed to distant places. To date, scientific information on these local food resources are not fully accumulated. However, some recent scientific studies have indicated that those regional food resources have a high potential to be a source of health functional components and useful microorganisms. It is expected that a study of the traditional way of food processing will lead to new knowledge which could be applied to develop a new processed food products. At the same time, among the components of traditional foods made from indigenous materials, there would emerge some novel effective functional compounds and useful enzymes. Additionally, comprehensive analytical data about chemical composition and microorganism may help to solve food safety issues.

Based on these backgrounds, JIRCAS together with its Asian counterpart organizations launched the 'Food Research Network of Asia' for the efficient utilization of indigenous food resources under the joint declaration at Bangkok in 2013. Members of the network shared their knowledge on major issues concerning food research, particularly food processing technology and physiological functionality of traditional food resources. As an activity of the network, databases on indigenous foods of each region of Asia are being constructed which will be uploaded on the web.

At the same time, JIRCAS will implement multistakeholder project, Advanced Application of Local Food Resources in Asia, re-evaluate previous empirical data also bearing in mind that the research outputs will be used by the industries. A group of researchers from JIRCAS, Kasetsart University, Thailand, and Laos University elucidated the regional differences and time dependent transition of bacterial and fungal species (e.g. lactic acid bacteria) living in fermented fish produced in Indochina by analyzing DNA via denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) method. On the subject of food processing, researchers from JIRCAS and China Agricultural University developed a new and efficient coagulant agent to produce a Chinese-style tofu. Electron spectroscopic characterization of sticky rice from Japan and Thailand was carried out to understand the properties of water-soluble polysaccharides, which are expected to be used for various food processing techniques.

Keywords: Regional food resources, local food, traditional food, fermented food

References:

http://www.fao.org/asiapacific/rap/home/news/detail/en/?news_uid=146414 ('Forgotten foods' can be an important tool to fight hunger, FAO and scientists say, Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, FAO)

<http://www.microbialtech2013.com/> (The 1st International Symposium on Microbial Technology for Food and Energy Security)

Discussion

1. Inadequate infrastructure and lack of human capacity are some of the factors responsible for slow pace in harmonizing of food safety regulations of some Asia-Pacific countries with Codex. However, some food safety standards of European Union are more stringent than those of Codex which creates hindrances for exporting countries. The standards should be science-based rather than arbitrarily fixed at zero level of presence.
2. There is a need for every country to put domestic food safety standards in operation.
3. Limited public communication by scientists has been the major reason for wide circulation of unfounded myths about health risks of GM foods. There is a need to convey to public the factual position in a professional and convincing way after proper testing and valuation of the technology.
4. There is a need for setting safety standards for traditional Asian foods. Networking among scientists of the region would accelerate development of such standards with science-based evidence.

Session V: Discussion of Key Issues

Discussions were held on several key policy, scientific and administrative issues related to adoption of food safety at the national level, and regional and global collaboration in harmonization of food safety regulations and capacity development.

Although the consultation was specifically related to food safety, it was emphasized that food safety has a very close relationship with food security, nutrition security and sustainability and the relationship should be well understood for the overall impact of this important subject on the food scenario.

Recommendations**Policy and Standards**

1. Currently many countries are focusing on standards and control systems for export sector. It was recommended that focus should also be on domestic standards and not just for exports. These should address food safety aspects as well as wholesomeness of foods.
2. Minor crops are a neglected area and there needs to be a major thrust on development of food safety standards for these crops.

3. All standards cannot be made mandatory especially in areas of GAP, quality, etc. It was considered important to get more people involved in implementation of voluntary standards for which some discussions may be needed.
4. Strengthening risk assessment capability of countries so that international standards could be examined to see how they fit within the national scenario.
5. Work towards identifying and documenting food safety indicators for the region.

Traditional food

1. Science based assessment of traditional foods is an important area for development of standards.
2. Documentation of traditional food resources should be carried out by countries to avoid patent issues.

Stakeholder involvement

1. Develop and implement programs for diverse stakeholders (producers, consumers, etc.) on food safety.
2. Industry involvement is important and industry representatives should be associated at various stages while taking major decisions on food safety both at national and regional levels.
3. Consumer trust on food safety is not very high due to many adulteration and fraud cases coming to light globally. Hence, there is a need to examine on how governments and international organizations can build consumer trust (such as labelling, awareness programs, etc).

Food control systems – risk management aspects

1. Have programs on strengthening hygienic conditions for street food vendors.
2. Develop programs to strengthen implementation of standards for non-packaged foods.
3. Data is an important component of food control systems specifically for taking evidence-based decisions at various levels. Various forms of data are required on monitoring, testing, FBDS amongst others. Countries have not focused much work on data collection and need to work towards collection of test data on residues, contaminants and other food safety-related parameters. FAO was requested for support to organize such studies in a few countries of the region.
4. Explore the possibility of developing common SOPs across countries for harmonization.

Laboratories

1. Upgrading of laboratories in the region is very important specifically in relation to dealing with emerging risks.
2. Sharing of information between labs at both country and regional level is an important focus area.

3. Quick test methods for detection of pathogens to be developed and shared amongst countries.
4. Scientific (chemical and microbial) data needs to be collected on local and traditional products.

R&D

1. Breeding for improved food safety characteristics needs to be a focus area (e.g. tolerance to mycotoxins).
2. Rapid throughput methods for detection of biological contamination and the same to be shared through networks for transparency.
3. Research and development generally does not find its practical applications. Studies and analysis are needed on how to bring the research and development to products and markets.

Farm level GAP

1. Strengthening GAP implementation, including providing incentives to producers for effective implementation.
2. Need to have scientific evidence and data on benefits of GAP implementation – safety as well as cost benefits/ profits.
3. Traceability is an important area and needs specific focus.
4. To study the cooperatives model (as in the case of milk sector in India) for specifically evaluating its impact on and strengthening food safety.

GM crops

1. To focus on documenting benefits in relation to consumer related traits – so far the focus has been on documenting farmer related benefits.
2. To develop, document and implement training programs on GM food safety for consumers.
3. Work on programs to strengthen cooperation in biosafety in the Asia Pacific region.

Others

1. Develop programs to strengthen monitoring for antimicrobial resistant microorganisms (superbugs).
2. Strengthen transparency across food chains.
3. Incorporate voluntary certifications into the regulatory system for efficient use of country and regional resources.

Collaboration at National and Regional Levels

The following areas were identified for collaboration at national /regional level.

1. Increase focus on strengthening coordination between Ministries/ departments/ other stakeholders as also countries in the region.
2. Agri research goes not get the requisite attention as well as suitable resources. It was recommended that the agriculture system should be a part of and strengthen links with national food regulatory systems.
3. An information network needs to be developed to share information, activities and knowledge on regulations, technologies, data, testing techniques and methods, experts, information on FAO and other programs and work done/ being done on food safety, etc. There could be a joint network between AVRDC, APAARI, JIRCAS, FAO and country focal points.
4. A Rapid Alert System for Food and Feeds is important for all countries. Countries like Thailand, Malaysia and others that have such a system, may support other countries in the region. Thailand has been supported by EU to establish such a network and they may be requested to assist other countries based on the experience.
5. Safety in the area of horticulture products was identified as an important area of partnership. It was suggested that AVRDC may also join the program being implemented by FAO for SAARC countries so that some more countries such as Pakistan and India could be supported to set up certification systems. AVRDC would explore the possibility of the same.
6. Food safety of non-packaged foods was identified as an important area that had not been adequately addressed. Development of programs to strengthen food safety for non-packaged foods through regional collaboration was identified as a priority.
7. Countries may be asked to define their respective strengths which would help in improving coordination and sharing of information.

Program

4 August 2014

09:00-09:30		Registration
Opening Session		
09:30-09:40	Welcome Address	<i>Dr. Masa Iwanaga, JIRCAS</i>
09:40-09:50	Welcome Address	<i>Dr. J.L. Karihaloo, APAARI</i>
09:50-10:00	Opening Remarks	<i>Mr. Akira Endo, MAFF</i>
10:00-10:05	Vote of Thanks	<i>Mr. Osamu Koyama, JIRCAS</i>
10:05-10:40 Group Photograph & Tea/Coffee Break		
Session I: Keynote Presentations: Overall Scenario of Food Security and Food Safety Chair: <i>Dr. Iftikhar Ahmad, PARC</i> Co-Chair: <i>Dr. J. L. Karihaloo, APAARI</i>		
10:40-11:10	Food security and safety in the Asia-Pacific region	<i>Dr. V. Prakash, CSIR JSS-MVP</i>
11:10-11:40	Food safety measures and their related matters	<i>Prof. Kenji Isshiki, JFRL</i>
11:40-12:10	Strengthening food safety-initiatives in the region	<i>Dr. Shashi Sareen, FAO</i>
12:10-12:40	Discussion	
12:40-13:40 Lunch Break		
Session II: Country Status Reports Chair: <i>Mr. W.I.P. Wimal Kumara, SLCARP</i> Co-Chair: <i>Mr. Osamu Koyama, JIRCAS</i>		
13:40-14:00	India	<i>Dr. Meenakshi Singh, FSSAI</i>
14:00-14:20	Japan	<i>Dr. Yasuhiro Inatsu, NARO</i>
14:20-14:40	Malaysia	<i>Dr. Mohamed Shafit Bin Hussain, MARDI</i>
14:40-15:00	Pakistan	<i>Dr. Iftikhar Ahmad, PARC</i>
15:00-15:20 Tea/Coffee Break		
15:20-15:40	Philippines	<i>Dr. Edralina P. Serrano, UPLB</i>
15:40-16:00	Sri Lanka	<i>Mr. W.I.P. Wimal Kumara, SLCARP</i>
16:00-16:20	Chinese Taipei	<i>Mr. Chun-Lung Cheng, COA</i>
16:20-16:40	Thailand	<i>Ms. Preeyanooch Tippayawat, DOA</i>
16:40-17:10	Discussion	
18:30 Dinner hosted by JIRCAS at Restaurant Sansui-tei		

5 August 2014

Session III: Issues and scientific advances in specific commodities		
	Chair:	<i>Dr. Mohamed Shafit Bin Hussain, MARDI</i>
	Co-Chair:	<i>Dr. Masayoshi Saito, JIRCAS</i>
09:00-09:30	Food safety issues and scientific advances related to animal-source foods	<i>Dr. Kohei Makita, ILRI</i>
09:30-10:00	Food safety issues and initiatives of AVRDC-The World Vegetable Center.	<i>Dr. Antonio L. Acedo, AVRDC</i>
10:00-10:30	Breeding for mycotoxin resistance in wheat and maize: CIMMYT's recent work and strategy	<i>Dr. P.K. Singh, CIMMYT</i>
10:30-11:00	Discussion	
11:00-11:20 Tea/Coffee Break		
Session IV: International Initiative		
	Chair:	<i>Dr. V. Prakash, CSIR JSS-MVP</i>
	Co-Chair:	<i>Mr. Chun-Lung Cheng, COA</i>
11:20-11:50	Codex Alimentarius and its harmonization	<i>Dr. Meenakshi Singh, FSSAI</i>
11:50-12:20	Translational research on transgenic crops at ICRISAT: towards product development, deployment, food safety and biosafety	<i>Dr. Kiran K. Sharma, ICRISAT</i>
12:20-13:20 Lunch		
13:20-13:50	JIRCAS project on advanced application of local food resources in Asia	<i>Dr. Kazuhiko Nakahara, JIRCAS</i>
13:50-14:20	Discussion	
14:20-14:40 Tea/Coffee Break		
Session IV: Discussion on Key Issues		
	Chair:	<i>Dr. Shashi Sareen, FAO</i>
	Facilitator:	<i>Dr. J. L. Karihaloo, APAARI</i>
14:40-15:40	Food safety priority issues in the region	
15:40-16:30	Challenges and constraints	
16:30-17:00	Actions/interventions - National/Regional cooperation	
17:00-17:15 Closing ceremony		
18:30	Dinner hosted by APAARI at Okura Frontier Hotel (11F)	

List of Participants

Mr. Akira Endo

Director
International Reserch Division
Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Research
Council Secretariat
Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
(MAFF)
1-2-1, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda
Tokyo 100-0013
Japan

Dr. Antonio L. Acedo, Jr.

Postharvest Specialist
AVRDC-The World Vegetable Center
AVRDC South Asia
ICRISAT Campus
Patancheru 502 324
Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh
India
Email: jun.acedo@worldveg.org

Mr. Chun-Lung Cheng

Section Chief
Food Technology and Processing Section
Animal Industry Department
Council of Agriculture (COA)
37 Nan Hai Road, Taipei 10014
Chinese Taipei
Email: cheng@mail.coa.gov.tw

Ms. Digna L. Sandoval

Division Head
Plant Pathologist and Horticulturist
Institutional Development Division
Bureau of Agricultural Research (BAR)
Bureau of Agricultural Research
RDMIC Building, Visayas Ave. Cor.
Elliptical Road, Diliman
Quezon City 1104
The Philippines
Email: dsandoval@bar.gov.ph

Dr. Edralina P. Serrano

Professor
Bureau of Agricultural Research (BAR)
College of Agriculture
University of the Philippines at Los Banos,
College
Los Banos, Laguna 4031
The Philippines
Email: lines.serrano@gmail.com

Dr. Iftikhar Ahmad

Chairman
Pakistan Agricultural Research Council (PARC)
Plot 20, Ataturk Avenue
Sector G-5/1, Islamabad
Pakistan
Email: iftahmad@gmail.com

Dr. Jawahir Lal Karihaloo

Coordinator
Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural
Biotechnology (APCoAB)
Asia-Pacific Association of Agricultural Research
Institutions (APAARI)
National Agriculture Science Complex
Dev Prakash Shastri Marg (Near Todapur)
Pusa, New Delhi-110012
India
Email: j.karihaloo@cgiar.org

Dr. Kazuhiko Nakahara

Project Leader
Japan International Research Center for
Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS)
1-1 Ohwashi, Tsukuba
Ibaraki 305-8686
Japan
Email: knakahar@affrc.go.jp

Dr. Kenji Isshiki

Professor Emeritus/Visiting Professor/Technical Adviser
Hokkaido University/The Open University of Japan/
Japan Food Research Laboratories (JFRL)
52-1 Motoyoyogi-Cho
Shibuya-ku
Tokyo 151-0062
Japan
Email: isschikik@jfrl.or.jp

Dr. Kiran Kumar Sharma

Principal Scientist (Biotechnology)
& Director-Translational Platform for Transgenic Crops (PTTC)
International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT)
PTTC Bldg. ICRISAT
Patancheru 502324
Near Hyderabad, Telangana
India
Email: k.sharma@cgiar.org

Dr. Kohei Makita

Associate Professor of Veterinary Epidemiology (RGU)/Joint Appointed Scientist (ILRI)
Rakuno Gakuen University/International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI)
582 Bunkyo-dai Midorimachi
Ebetsu, 069-8501
Japan
Email: kmakita@rakuno.ac.jp

Dr. Masa Iwanaga

President
Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS)
1-1 Ohwashi, Tsukuba
Ibaraki 305-8686
Japan
Email: miwanaga@affrc.go.jp

Dr. Masayoshi Saito

Program Director
Rural Livelihood Program
Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS)
1-1 Ohwashi, Tsukuba
Ibaraki 305-8686
Japan
Email: masaito@affrc.go.jp

Dr. Meenakshi Singh

Scientist
Food Safety & Standards Authority of India (FSSAI)
FDA Bhawan, Kotla Road
New Delhi
India
Email: meenakshi15@nic.in

Dr. Mohamed Shafit Bin Hussain

Deputy Director
Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute
MARDI Headquarter
Food Technology Research Centre
Jalan Persiaran MARDI-UPM
43400 Serdang, Selangor
Malaysia
Email: shafit@mardi.gov.my

Dr. Osamu Koyama

Director
Research Strategy Office
Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS)
1-1 Ohwashi, Tsukuba
Ibaraki 305-8686
Japan
Email: koyama@affrc.go.jp

Dr. Pawan K. Singh

Head
Wheat Pathology, Global Wheat Program
International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT)
Km 45, Carretera México-Veracruz
El Batán, Texcoco CP 56130
Edo. de México
Mexico
Email: pk.singh@cgiar.org

Ms. Preeyanooch Tippayawat

Scientist

Food Safety of Plants and Plant
Products Group, Plant Standard and Certification
Office

Department of Agriculture (DOA)

50 Paholyothin Rd., Chatuchak

Bangkok 10900

Thailand

Email: preeyanooch.t@doa.in.th

Dr. Shashi Sareen

Senior Food Safety and Nutrition Officer

FAO-RAP , Maliwan Mansion

39 Phra Athit Road

Bangkok, 10200

Thailand

Email: shashi.sareen@fao.org

Dr. Vishweshwaraiah Prakash

Distinguished Scientist

Council of Scientific and Industrial Research
(CSIR)

Director of Research, Innovation & Development

JSS-MVP, JSS Technical Institution Campus

Mysore 570006

India

Email: prakashvish@gmail.com

Mr. Wedagedara Induka Prabath Wimal Kumara

Director

Sri Lanka Council for Agricultural Research
Policy (SLCARP)

114/9 Wijerama Mawatha

Colombo 07

Sri Lanka

Email: prabathwk@gmail.com

Dr. Yasuhiro Inatsu

Head

Food Hygiene Laboratory

Food Safety Division

National Food Research Institute (NFRI)

National Agriculture and Food Research
Organization (NARO)

2-1-12 Kannondai, Tsukuba

Ibaraki 305-8642

Japan

Email: inatu@affrc.go.jp

Ms. Urairat Rujirek

Administrative Associate

Asia Pacific Association of Agricultural Research
Institutions (APAARI)

FAO Annex, 202/1 Larn Luang Road

Bangkok 10100

Thailand

Email: urairat@apaari.org

About the Organizers



Asia-Pacific Association of Agricultural Research Institutions

The Asia-Pacific Association of Agricultural Research Institutions (APAARI) is a regional association that aims to promote the development of National Agricultural Research Systems (NARS) in the Asia-Pacific region through inter-regional and inter-institutional cooperation. The overall objectives of the Association are to foster the development of agricultural research in the Asia-Pacific region so as to promote the exchange of scientific and technical information, encourage collaborative research, promote human resource development, build organizational and management capabilities of member institutions and strengthen cross-linkages and networking among diverse stakeholders. To meet these needs, the Association: i) convenes General Assembly once in two years, holds regular Executive Committee meetings twice a year and organizes consultations, workshops, timings etc., ii) collects, collates and disseminates research findings, iii) maintains links with other for a in the region and outside through meetings, participation and information exchange, and iv) promotes need based collaboration in research projects among member institutions, analysing priorities and focusing on regional agricultural development.

For details, please visit: www.apaari.org



Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology

The Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology (APCoAB) was established in 2003 under the umbrella of APAARI. APCoAB has the mission to “Harness the benefits of agricultural biotechnology for human and animal welfare through the application of latest scientific technologies while safeguarding the environment for the advancement of society in the Asia-Pacific Region”. APCoAB’s main thrusts are to (i) serve as a neutral forum for the key partners engaged in research, development, commercialization and education/learning of agricultural biotechnology as well as environmental safety in the Asia-Pacific region; (ii) facilitate and promote the process of greater public awareness and understanding relating to importance issues of IPR., *sui generis* systems, biosafety, risk assessment, harmonization of regulatory procedures, and benefit sharing in order to address various concerns relating to adoption of agricultural biotechnology; and (iii) facilitate human resource development for meaningful application of agricultural biotechnology to enhance sustainable agricultural productivity, as well as product quality, for the welfare of both farmers and consumers.

To know more about APCoAB, please visit: www.apcoab.org



Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS)

Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS) was first established in 1970 as the Tropical Agriculture Research Center (TARC). In 1993, it was reorganized into JIRCAS, which then became an Incorporated Administrative Agency (IAA) under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan (MAFF) in April 2001.

JIRCAS's mission is to contribute to the advancement of agriculture in developing regions through research leading to the development of technology.

In Japan, JIRCAS plays a core role in international collaborations in the field of agriculture, forestry and fisheries research aimed at providing solutions to global food security and environmental threats besieging developing countries through technology development.

Specifically, JIRCAS pursues the following main objectives:

1. To undertake comprehensive experimental research for technological advancement of agriculture, forestry, fisheries and related industries in tropical and subtropical zones of developing regions;
2. To collect, analyze and publish information of domestic and international researches which are relevant to agriculture, forestry and fisheries as well as farming systems in these developing areas;
3. Through the above, to seek to contribute solutions to global food and environmental problems as well as to the stable supply of agricultural, forestry and fishery products and resources.

To know more about JIRCAS, please visit: www.jircas.affrc.go.jp



Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology (APCoAB)

C/o ICRISAT, NASC Complex, Dev Prakash Shastri Marg,

Pusa Campus, New Delhi - 110 012

Tel. : +91-11-32472305, Fax : +91-11-25841294

Website : <http://www.apcoab.org>